



Application No.	09/943,892
Filing Date	August 29, 2001
First Named Inventor	Eric D. Anderson
Group Art Unit	2156
Examiner	David E. England
Atty. Docket Number	500247.03

FEE CALCULATION (Continued)

3. ADDITIONAL FEES

Large Entity		Small Entity		Fee Description	Fee paid
Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Code	Fee (\$)		
105	130	205	65	Surcharge - Late filing fee or oath	\$
127	50	227	25	Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet	\$
139	130	139	130	Non-English specification	\$
147	2,520	147	2,520	For Filing a Request for Reexamination	\$
195	300	196	300	Publication (early or Republication)	\$
115	110	215	55	Extension for reply within first month	\$
116	400	216	200	Extension for reply within 2 nd month	\$
117	920	217	460	Extension for reply within 3 rd month	\$
118	1,440	218	720	Extension for reply within 4 th month	\$
128	1,960	280	980	Extension for reply within 5 th month	\$
120	320	220	160	Filing a brief in support of an appeal	\$
121	280	270	140	Request for oral hearing	\$
148	110	248	55	Terminal Disclaimer Fee	\$
140	110	240	55	Petition to revive – unavoidable	\$
141	1,280	241	640	Petition to revive – unintentional	\$
142	1,280	242	640	Utility/Reissue issue fee (+ advance copies)	\$
143	460	243	230	Design issue fee (+ advance copies)	\$
122	130	122	130	Petitions to the Commissioner	\$
123	50	123	50	Petitions related to provisional applications	\$
126	180	126	180	Submission of IDS	\$
581	40	81	40	Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)	\$
179	740	279	370	Request for Continued Examination (RCE)	\$

FEE CALCULATION

1. BASIC FILING FEE

Large Entity		Small Entity		Fee Description
Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Code	Fee (\$)	
101	740	201	370	Utility Filing Fee
106	330	206	165	Design Filing Fee
108	740	208	370	Reissue Filing Fee
114	160	214	80	Provisional Filing Fee

Subtotal (1)	\$ 0
---------------------	-------------

2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES

Current Claims		Prior		Extra		Fee		Fee Paid	
Total	<u>7</u>	-	<u>20</u>	=	<u>0</u>	x	<u>\$ 18</u>	=	<u>\$ 0</u>
Ind.	<u>1</u>	-	<u>3</u>	=	<u>0</u>	x	<u>\$ 84</u>	=	<u>\$ 0</u>
Multiple Dependent Claims						x	<u>\$</u>	=	<u>\$</u>
							Subtotal (2)		\$ 0

Large Entity		Small Entity		<u>Fee Description</u>
<u>Fee Code</u>	<u>Fee (\$)</u>	<u>Fee Code</u>	<u>Fee (\$)</u>	
103	18	203	9	Claims in excess of 20
102	84	202	42	Independent claims in excess of 3
104	280	204	140	Multiple dependent Claim
109	84	209	42	Reissue independent claims over original patent
110	18	210	9	Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent

Other fee (specify)

Subtotal (3)	\$ 0
---------------------	-------------

Total Amount of Payment: \$ 0

RECEIVED

JAN 03 2003

Technology Center 2100

Submitted by:


Name: Mark W. Roberts, Ph.D.

Reg. No.: 46,160

Telephone: (206) 903-8728

Signature:

Date:

2156
#7
mm
1-8-03
PATENT

I hereby certify that on the date specified below, this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to Box Non-Fee Amendment, Commissioner of Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

Date

December 26, 2002

Stephanie Jansen

SJA—

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : Eric D. Anderson

Attorney Docket No.: 500247.03

Serial No. : 09/943,892

Group Art Unit : 2156

Filed : August 29, 2001

Examiner : David E. England

Title : METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR CENTRALIZED STORAGE AND MANAGEMENT OF ELECTRONIC MESSAGES

Box Non-Fee Amendment
Commissioner of Patents
Washington, DC 20231

RECEIVED

JAN 03 2003

AMENDMENT

Technology Center 2100

Sir:

Applicant acknowledges receipt of the Office Action dated September 26, 2002.

REMARKS

Claims 30-36 are pending in this divisional application. In the Office Action dated September 26, 2002, the Examiner rejected claims 30, 31 and 36 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,377,993 to Brandt *et al.* Claim 32 was rejected under 35 U.S.C § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brandt *et al.* in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,236,996 to Bapat *et al.* The Examiner rejected claims 33 and 34 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brandt *et al.* in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,029,195 to Herz. Claim 35 was